

Self Survey PRODUCTION MATERIAL SUPPLIER SYSTEM EVALUATION

Approved:	By:	Date: _	Not Appr	oved:	By:	
SUPPLIER:			PRODUCT DESCRIPTION:			
ADDRESS:			ORIGINAL SOURCING:			
CITY/STATE/ZIP:			QUALITY INDEX:			
			INITIAL:	CURRENT	MONTH:	
SUPPLIER CONTACT	:		- RESURVEY:	YEAR TO D	ATE:	
TELEPHONE:			CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUI	IRED:	YES	NO
			CORRECTIVE ACTION FOLLO	OW-UP DATE	:	
Exce	ellent		MEETS REQUIREMENTS WIT	H SOME		96 - 100%
Adeq	ıuate		OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROMEETS MINIMAL REQUIREME			90-95%
Març	ginal		MUST HAVE CORRECTIVE AC			84 - 89% Below 84%
Unacce	eptable		Do Not Pass - Reconsidered a	at later date	'	
Scorii	ng: Please rea	ad caref	ully.			
2 - Company has	minor limitatior procedure docu	ns to impl mentation	nts: comments and/or explaina ementation or not fully docume n or not fully implemented. (Exp equired)	ented (Explai	nation re	
	ssible onsite ver	ification b	eceive a score of 3 or Written C by All State Fastener Quality. Al			
Note: If this is	a self-survey	, then th	ne supplier must add supp	orting con	<u>nments</u>	
following each	n score given.					•
		С	OMMENTS			
ISO Certification	ons:		Expires:			

Evaluator's Signature

Supplier Signature

Date

MANAGEMENT 1** Is there a documented Quality System including Quality Manual, Procedures, and Work Instructions that meet ISO/TS 16949 or ISO 9001-2008 Requirements and are they understood throughout the organization? Score: 2** Is there an Organizational Chart defining responsibilities and authority to make necessary improvements effecting Quality and control non-conformities? Can a current copy by produced? Score: Is there a controlled documented Business Plan with long and short-term goals and communicated 3 throughout the organization? Was benchmarking and competitive products used to establish the goals? Score: 4** Does the company operate on a "Zero Defect Philosophy"? Score: Does the Management Team periodically review the effectiveness of the Quality System? Are these reviews documented and cover all elements of the Quality System? Score: Is there a Company Policy Statement on Continuous Improvement, which covers Quality, Cost and Technology? Are Performance Measurable (s) the driving factor? (Q O S) Do trends meet **Business Objectives?**

7** How does the Management Team Measure Customer Satisfaction?

Score:

Score:

В	Is there a program for Employee Motivation? How is it measured?	
		Score:
9	Do the people responsible for Quality have the authority to stop an operation to correct Quality Problems?	1
		Score:
10*	Are all shifts staged with trained people that support Quality?	
		Score:
11	Does the supplier have a process for promoting quality awareness at all levels of the company	?
		Score:
12	Does the supplier's policies and practices address due care regarding safety and a means to minimize potential risk to employee, customers, users and the environment?	
		Score:
13*	*What is the supplier's customer rejection performance? Score <50 PPM = 0-pts., 51 - 100PPM : 101 - 150PPM = 1pts., 150 - 199PPM = 2pts., 200>PPM = 3pts.	=.5pts.,
		Score:
14*	'Is First Run Capacity measured? Do you utilize corrective actions when required?	
		Score:
15*	*Is there a documented Cost Improvement Program in place? Has the supplier met or are they v to meet ASF's Cost Saving Requirement?	villing
		Score:
16*	Is there a documented employee training program in place? Has a Training Matrix been develo Are there records showing the training received?	ped?
		Score:

**Is Advanced Quality Planning practiced on new or changed products, processes, a Are Flow Diagram, FEMA's, Control Plans, Feasibility Reviews and Time Lines use	
	Score:
**Is there a Floor Plan showing ergonomic flow and placement of Equipment?	
	Score:
	000.01
**Does the supplier have a documented system for RFQ / contract Review?	
	Score:
	333131
**Does the supplier have a documented system for capacity planning / scheduling?	
	Score:
** Are State and Federal O.S.H.A. Requirements recognized and enforced for both pro and is the plant clean? U.S. suppliers only.	oduct and Process
	Score:
	ocore.
•	1
TOTAL POINT MANAGEMEN	IT SECTION

STATISTICAL METHOD

1**	Are process potential studies performed on new products and processes? Is a minimum CPk v 1.67 required for all significant characteristics (PPk>= 1.33) production release?	alue of
		Score:
2**	Is the STATISTICAL Process Control (SPC) in use during processing? Who performs the SPC of does the plotting?	checks and
		Score:
3**	Is there a written procedure for out of control conditions? Does it include the shutting down of process? Are processes re-verified prior to production being restarted?	the
		Score:
4**	Are special characteristics identified and statistically capable to a CPk if 1.67>?	
		Score:
5**	Is statical data analyzed and the necessary actions taken to improve the equipment or process when the outcome has been found to be incapable?	
		Score:
	Total Points Statistical Method Section	

PURCHASED METHOD

1**	How are suppliers chosen? Are suppliers selected on their ability to meet quality system requirements?				
		Score:			
2**	Are the suppliers and their performance monitored for quality? How are the suppliers notified performance?	of their			
		Score:			
}	Are the suppliers encouraged to meet ISO/TS 16949 Requirements? How is this verified?				
		Score:			
**	Is received material tested and audited upon receipt? Are records maintained and available?				
		Score:			
;	Are material certifications verified? Is material sent to an outside lab for material analysis? Ho often? Is this lab certified?	w			
		Score:			
**	Are suppliers encouraged to perform "root cause" analysis on non-conforming material? Are available to verify this?	records			
		Score:			
**	Is incoming material segregated prior to being accepted for production? How is non-conforming material kept separate from acceptable material?	i <mark>ng</mark>			
		Score:			
**	Are there procedures in place to handle Customer Supplied Products as required?				
		Score:			
	Form # 212 supplierselfsurvey-outsideauditform (1).xls Total points Purchased Material Section	Page 6			

SPECIFICATION AND PRINT CHANGE CONTROL

1**	Is there an effective system in place for Document Control, which will assure that all drawings specifications are up to date? Are they reviewed and approved prior to issue?	and
		Sacrat
		Score:
2**	Is there a master list showing the revision level of each document?	1
		0
		Score:
3**	How are the latest prints and specifications issued to the production floor? Who is responsible that this is done?	e to see
		Score:
4.	What is done with obsolete prints and specs?	Score:
5**	Do changes to prints and specifications require a written authorization from the customer? Is System properly used and records keep on file?	the PPAP
		Score:
6	Are customers product/process deviation approvals tracked in a method that assures adheren deviation? Does the tracking me5thod assure that authorized quantity levels and/or approved are not exceeded?	
]
		Score:
	TOTAL POINTS SPECIFICATION AND PRINT CHANGE CONTROL SECTION	
		·

1**	IN PROCESS CONTROL Are written operator and inspection instructions in place and being followed? Are visual aids when applicable? What procedure is followed to assure that these are kept up to date?	used
		Score:
2	Are written set-up instructions in place and being followed? Are visual aids used when applica What procedure is followed to assure that these are kept up to date?	able?
		Score:
3**	Are set-up and/or first piece inspections performed prior to starting production? Are records a samples retained? For how long?	nd/or
		Score:
4**	Are in process inspection audits performed? Are the proper gages and equipment being used instruction? Are records available to verify this?	per the Score:
5	How is non-conforming material identified? Are visual aids or sample parts used to distinguisl parts from defective parts for visual criteria?	n good
		Score:
6**	How is non-conforming material segregated? Is there a reaction plan listed on the Control Plan subject to re-inspection by someone other than the repairperson prior to being shipped? Are ravailable to verify this?	
		Score:
7**	Is there a proper recall system in place in case non-conforming material is shipped?	
		Score:

9** Is there an adequate Tool Control Program in place? Are ASF or other customer owned tools and dies marked and identified?

 8^{**} Is there a system to properly identify the status of all materials?

Score:

Score:

10	Does the supplier have contingency plans for utility interruption, labor shortages, etc?	
		Score:
11	Are processes controlled to the same level or above as they were approved at PPAP?	
		Score:
12	Are written instructions and/or visual aids available for packaging prior to shipment at the poir the packaging operation?	nt of
		Cassas
		Score:
13	Are error and mistake proofs validated at regularly scheduled intervals? If so, are the intervals enough to ensure no product will be shipped between validations?	frequent
		Score:
14	If an error or mistake proof is found not to be working, is there a reaction plan on the control p ensures all product produced since the last verification is segregated and checked prior to any shipment?	
		Score:
	•	
	TOTAL POINTS IN PROCESS CONTROL SECTION	

MEASUREMENT AND TEST EQUIPMENT

Is there a documented procedure for the control and calibration of gages and test equipment? Do records indicate that the required frequencies are maintained? Are the masters traceable to a national standard? (i.e.: The National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) in the US?) Score: Are trained personnel used in making judgments as to calibration and testing? Score: Are environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laboratory and gage storage area? Score: Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (i.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies? Score:	e gages and test equipment verified prior to being placed on the produ ailable?	uction floor? Are records
records indicate that the required frequencies are maintained? Are the masters traceable to a national standard? (I.e.: The National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) in the US?) Score: Are trained personnel used in making judgments as to calibration and testing? Score: Are environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laboratory and gage storage area? Score: Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		Score:
records indicate that the required frequencies are maintained? Are the masters traceable to a national standard? (I.e.: The National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) in the US?) Score: Are trained personnel used in making judgments as to calibration and testing? Score: Are environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laboratory and gage storage area? Score: Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		
Are trained personnel used in making judgments as to calibration and testing? Score: Are environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laboratory and gage storage area? Score: Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (l.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?	cords indicate that the required frequencies are maintained? Are the n	nasters traceable to a national
Are environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laboratory and gage storage area? Score: Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		Score:
Are environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laboratory and gage storage area? Score: Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		
Are environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laboratory and gage storage area? Score: Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?	e trained personnel used in making judgments as to calibration and te	esting?
Are environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laboratory and gage storage area? Score: Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		Saara
Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		Score:
Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		
Are accredited laboratories used for outside testing and calibration (I.e.: A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or equivalent)? Score: Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?	environmental conditions controlled (as appropriate) in the laborato	ry and gage storage area?
Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		Score:
Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		
Is there a documented procedure available which dictates the performance of gage repeatability and productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?	•	A2LA, ISO/TEC 17025 or
productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		Score:
productivity, bias, linearity and stability studies? Per AIAG MSA guidelines. Score: Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		
Are records available that indicate that all gages have had an acceptable R & R study done on them? How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ies.
How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		Score.
How often are they updated? Who takes the measurement for the studies?		
Score:		
Score.		Score
		Score:

FINAL AUDIT

1**	Is there a documented procedure for the auditing of material prior to shipping? Are written ins available and used including audit results?	tructions 1
		Score:
2**	Are Annual Layout records available for each part number as documented in the control plan?	 1
		Score:
3**	Does the supplier have a lot tractability system in place? Does it trace material back to the purchased material?	1
		0
		Score:
4**	Is non-conforming material kept segregated from acceptable material? Is it subject to re-inspe someone other than the repair personnel prior to shipping/	ction by
		Score:
5**	Are packaging and shipping labels audited prior to shipping?	1
		Score:
	TOTAL POINTS FINAL AUDIT SECTION	

PROBLEM AMD RETURNED GOODS REPORTING

1	Are proper Problem Solving Methods used which incorporates Error / Mistake Proofing Method	ds?
		Score:
2**	Is there a documented procedure for the review and analysis of returned material? Who is involved analysis? Are the production people involved?	olved in
		Score:
3**	Do records indicate that problems are traced to their "root cause" and that effective corrective are implemented? Who is involved in the "root cause" analysis?	actions
		Score:
4	Does the supplier have personnel with formal "root cause" training? If so, what type of training Are those individuals part of the "root cause" analysis references in question #3?	g is it?
		Score:
5	Are the production people kept aware of the customer's perception of their product quality? He done?	ow is this
		Score:
	TOTAL POINTS PROBLEM AND RETURNED GOODS REPORTING SECTION	

DELIVERY

	Does the supplier track both their own and their sub contractor's performance in regard to or delivery and premium freight?	n-time
		Score:
	Are the appropriate Corrective Action taken when their delivery performance is not 100%?	¬
		Score:
	Does the supplier provide written Corrective Action to its customers for late deliveries? Do the Corrective Actions incorporate the problem solving tools outline in the PROBLEM AND RETUGODDS REPORTING sections?	JRNED
		Score:
**	Is a bar code system used in shipping to check labels and quantities?	7
		Score:
	Does the supplier have the ability to receive Schedules Electronically?	٦
		Score:
	Does the supplier's material handling method use (FIFO) prevent damage or deterioration to products and / or material?	their
		Score:
	TOTAL POINTS DELIVERY SYSTEM	

ENGINEERING:

1**	IF applicable, does the company have the resources available to perform development for new products and processes? Are examples available?	
		Score:
		ocore.
2**	Are there procedures to control design of new products using Cross Functional Teams (include tooling, and dies)?	les
		Score:
3**	have design reviews been conducted according to the design plan? Does the design output m design input requirements?	eet the
		Score:
		000.0.
4**	When applicable, has design validation been addressed (DVP&R)?]
		Score:
5	Can the supplier design and produce prototypes in house when necessary?	1
		Score:
6**	Is there a preventive maintenance program in place? Are records kept and available? Is there adequate spare parts for key equipment?	1
		Score:
		Score.
	TOTAL POINTS ENGINEERING SECTION	[

	INTERNAL AUDIT	
1**	Are the employees trained to conduct internal audits?	1
		Score:
2**	Are internal audits conducted at scheduled intervals for both the Quality System and Product Process?	
		1
		Score:
3**	Does top management review the results from the audits?	1
		Score:
4**	Are corrective actions put in place for non-conformance found during the audits? Are they implemented in a timely manner?	1
		Score:
5	Do the audits include housekeeping and the work environment?	1
		Score:
	TOTAL POINTS FOR INTERNAL AUDIT SECTION	

QUALITY RECORDS

1**	Is there a procedure to assure that records are retained and readily retrievable?	7
		Score:
2**	Are Part Quality Records retained for one year after shipping the last piece of an ASF part nur	nber?
		Score:
3**	Are the records stored in an area that will prevent deteriorating and damage?	1
		Score:
4**	Are similar rules applied to electronic records?	1
		Score:
	Total Points Quality Records Section	

Corrective Actions Required

Item Number	Description of Issue	Quality System Survey Question Reference

Item Number	Description of Issue	Quality System Survey Question Reference
		+
		
orm # 212	supplierselfsurvey-outsideau	uditform (1).xls

SECTION TITLE	POINTS AVAIL	POINTS SCORED
MANAGEMENT STATISTICAL METHODS PURCHASED MATERIAL SPECIFICATION AND PRINT CHANGE CONTROL IN PROCESS CONTROLS MEASUREMENT AND TEST EQUIPMENT FINAL AUDIT PROBLEM AND RETURNED GOODS REPORTING DELIVERY ENGINEERING INTERNAL AUDIT	63 15 24 18 42 21 15 15 18 18	
TOTALS	<u>12</u> 276	

Note: Any questions that does not apply to a particular supplier should be scored as "N/A" and that value deducted from the total score when figuring the final percentage. If this is a self-survey then the supplier must consult their SQA prior to rating any category a "N/A"

Suppliers with a PPM of greater than "Zero" must develop a Corrective Action Plan which will be due Thirty Days from the date of the survey.

A copy of the self-survey must be sent to both the suppliers SQA and their purchasing agent.

^{** =} ISO 9001 / 9008

Please answer as fully as possible the following questions:

ISO Certifications:		Other (Lab etc):	
Types of Equipment:			
Primary Business:			
In House or Out sourced Heat treat:	Cert: _	In/Out Plate:	Cert:
Inspection Equipment:		Employees:	Shifts:
Quality Personnel:	Engineers:		
Capacities:Month			
Approximate annual sales:		% Automotive:	